UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANTHONY HERMO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.,

Defendants.

19-CV-10471 (CM)
ORDER TO AMEND

COLLEEN McMAHON, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, appearing *pro se*, brings this action against the City of New York, the New York City Department of Correction (DOC), and Laura Mello, a DOC employee, invoking the federal question statute as a basis for the Court's jurisdiction. By order dated December 2, 2019, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed without prepayment of fees, that is, *in forma pauperis*. For the reasons set forth below, the Court grants Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint within thirty days of the date of this order.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court must dismiss an *in forma pauperis* complaint, or any portion of the complaint, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); *see Livingston v. Adirondack Beverage Co.*, 141 F.3d 434, 437 (2d Cir. 1998). The Court must also dismiss a complaint when the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470

F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). But the "special solicitude" in *pro se* cases, *id.* at 475 (citation omitted), has its limits – to state a claim, *pro se* pleadings still must comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.

Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief. A complaint states a claim for relief if the claim is plausible. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009) (citing *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007)). To review a complaint for plausibility, the Court accepts all well-pleaded factual allegations as true and draws all reasonable inferences in the pleader's favor. *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 678-79 (citing *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555). But the Court need not accept "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action," which are essentially legal conclusions. *Id.* at 678 (citing *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555). After separating legal conclusions from well-pleaded factual allegations, the court must determine whether those facts make it plausible – not merely possible – that the pleader is entitled to relief. *Id.*

BACKGROUND

The following facts are taken from the complaint, which is not a model of clarity: Plaintiff took an unidentified examination on an unspecified date. He passed the "written, the physical, and the drug test but the psychological was defiant of bias that was criminal that was hostile." (ECF No. 2 at 5.) An unnamed doctor, who was the "profiler," was aware of Plaintiff's "learning disability" and "mental illness." (*Id.*) He seeks unspecified relief.

DISCUSSION

A. DOC

Plaintiff's claims against the DOC must be dismissed because an agency of the City of New York is not an entity that can be sued. N.Y. City Charter ch. 17, § 396 ("[A]]] actions and proceedings for the recovery of penalties for the violation of any law shall be brought in the name of the city of New York and not in that of any agency, except where otherwise provided by law."); *Jenkins v. City of New York*, 478 F.3d 76, 93 n.19 (2d Cir. 2007); *see also Emerson v. City of New York*, 740 F. Supp. 2d 385, 396 (S.D.N.Y. 2010) ("[A] plaintiff is generally prohibited from suing a municipal agency.").

B. City of New York and Laura Mello

Because Plaintiff sues the City of New York and a DOC employee, the Court construes the complaint as arising under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. To state a claim under § 1983, a plaintiff must allege both that: (1) a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) the right was violated by a person acting under the color of state law, or a "state actor." *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48-49 (1988).

When a plaintiff sues a municipality under § 1983, it is not enough for the plaintiff to allege that one of the municipality's employees or agents engaged in some wrongdoing. The plaintiff must show that the municipality itself caused the violation of the plaintiff's rights. *See Connick v. Thompson*, 131 S. Ct. 1350, 1359 (2011) ("A municipality or other local government may be liable under this section [1983] if the governmental body itself 'subjects' a person to a deprivation of rights or 'causes' a person 'to be subjected' to such deprivation.") (quoting *Monell v. Dep't of Soc. Servs. of City of New York*, 436 U.S. 658, 692 (1978)); *Cash v. Cnty. of Erie*, 654 F.3d 324, 333 (2d Cir. 2011). In other words, to state a § 1983 claim against a municipality, the plaintiff must allege facts showing (1) the existence of a municipal policy, custom, or practice,

and (2) that the policy, custom, or practice caused the violation of the plaintiff's constitutional rights. *See Jones v. Town of East Haven*, 691 F.3d 72, 80 (2d Cir. 2012); *Bd. of Cnty. Comm'rs of Bryan Cnty. v. Brown*, 520 U.S. 397, 403 (1997) (internal citations omitted).

Plaintiff's claims arise out of the administration of a test, in which Plaintiff did not pass the "psychological" section. Although the Court construes the complaint as related to his taking this test, because Plaintiff does not state what entity administered the test and why he took the test, the Court is unable to determine whether any defendant violated his rights.

The Court is inclined to dismiss the complaint because Plaintiff fails to state a claim showing that he is entitled to relief. But because Plaintiff names state actors and claims that they did not consider his disabilities in the context of taking a test, the Court cannot say with certainty that it would be futile to grant Plaintiff leave to amend his complaint. The Court therefore grants Plaintiff thirty days' leave to submit an amended complaint to state facts showing that Defendants violated his rights.

C. Application for the Court to request pro bono counsel

The factors to be considered in ruling on an indigent litigant's request for counsel include the merits of the case, Plaintiff's efforts to obtain a lawyer, and Plaintiff's ability to gather the facts and present the case if unassisted by counsel. *See Cooper v. A. Sargenti Co.*, 877 F.2d 170, 172 (2d Cir. 1989); *Hodge v. Police Officers*, 802 F.2d 58, 60-62 (2d Cir. 1986). Of these, the merits are "[t]he factor which command[s] the most attention." *Cooper*, 877 F.2d at 172. Because it is too early in the proceedings for the Court to assess the merits of the action, Plaintiff's motion for counsel is denied without prejudice to renewal at a later date.

LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff is granted leave to amend his complaint to detail his claims. In the statement of claim, Plaintiff must provide a **short and plain statement** of the relevant facts supporting each

claim against each defendant named in the amended complaint. The Court strongly encourages Plaintiff to contact the New York Legal Assistance Group's (NYLAG) Legal Clinic for Pro Se Litigants in the Southern District of New York, which is a free legal clinic staffed by attorneys and paralegals to assist those who are representing themselves in civil lawsuits in this Court. A copy of the flyer with details of the clinic is attached to this order. The amended complaint, if Plaintiff chooses to file one, should be sent to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit.

In the amended complaint, Plaintiff must provide a short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting each claim against each defendant named in the amended complaint. Plaintiff is also directed to provide the addresses for any named defendants. To the greatest extent possible, Plaintiff's amended complaint must:

- a) give the names and titles of all relevant persons;
- b) describe all relevant events, stating the facts that support Plaintiff's case including what each defendant did or failed to do;
- c) give the dates and times of each relevant event or, if not known, the approximate date and time of each relevant event;
- d) give the location where each relevant event occurred;
- e) describe how each defendant's acts or omissions violated Plaintiff's rights and describe the injuries Plaintiff suffered; and
- f) state what relief Plaintiff seeks from the Court, such as money damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.

Essentially, the body of Plaintiff's amended complaint must tell the Court: who violated his federally protected rights; what facts show that his federally protected rights were violated; when such violation occurred; where such violation occurred; and why Plaintiff is entitled to relief. Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wishes to maintain must be included in the amended complaint.

CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is directed to assign this matter to my docket, mail a copy of this

order to Plaintiff, and note service on the docket. Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended

complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended

complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit within thirty days of the date of this order, caption

the document as an "Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 19-CV-

10471 (CM). An Amended Complaint form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at

this time. If Plaintiff fails to comply within the time allowed, and he cannot show good cause to

excuse such failure, the complaint will be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief

may be granted.

The Court denies Plaintiff's application for the Court to request pro bono counsel (ECF

No. 3).

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore *in forma pauperis* status is denied for the purpose of an

appeal. Cf. Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant

demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

December 13, 2019

New York, New York

COLLEEN McMAHON

Chief United States District Judge

6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | CV |
|---|--|
| Write the full name of each plaintiff. | (Include case number if one has been assigned) |
| -against- | AMENDED |
| | COMPLAINT |
| | _ Do you want a jury trial? □ Yes □ No |
| | _ |
| Write the full name of each defendant. If you need more space, please write "see attached" in the space above and attach an additional sheet of paper with the full list of names. The names listed above must be identical to those contained in Section II. | |

NOTICE

The public can access electronic court files. For privacy and security reasons, papers filed with the court should therefore *not* contain: an individual's full social security number or full birth date; the full name of a person known to be a minor; or a complete financial account number. A filing may include *only*: the last four digits of a social security number; the year of an individual's birth; a minor's initials; and the last four digits of a financial account number. See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2.

I. BASIS FOR JURISDICTION

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation, and the amount in controversy is more than \$75,000, is a diversity case. In a diversity case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff.

| What is the basis for federal-court jurisdiction in your case? |
|--|
| ☐ Federal Question |
| ☐ Diversity of Citizenship |
| A. If you checked Federal Question |
| Which of your federal constitutional or federal statutory rights have been violated? |
| |
| |
| |
| B. If you checked Diversity of Citizenship |
| 1. Citizenship of the parties |
| Of what State is each party a citizen? |
| The plaintiff , , is a citizen of the State of (Plaintiff's name) |
| (State in which the person resides and intends to remain.) |
| or, if not lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States, a citizen or subject of the foreign state of |
| If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach additional pages providing information for each additional plaintiff. |

| If the defendant i | s an individual: | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| The defendant, | (Defendant's name) | | _, is a citizen of the State of |
| subject of the for | eign state of | | United States, a citizen or |
| If the defendant i | s a corporation: | | ≟ |
| The defendant, | | , is in | ncorporated under the laws of |
| the State of | | | |
| | | | |
| or is incorporate | d under the laws of (forei | gn state) | |
| and has its princ | ipal place of business in | | · |
| | defendant is named in the cach additional defendant. | omplaint, attach a | dditional pages providing |
| II. PARTIES | | | |
| A. Plaintiff Info | ormation | | |
| Provide the follow pages if needed. | ing information for each p | laintiff named in t | the complaint. Attach additional |
| First Name | Middle Initial | Last Nam | e |
| | | | |
| Street Address | | | |
| County, City | | State | Zip Code |
| Telephone Number | • | Fmail Address (if | available) |

B. Defendant Information

To the best of your ability, provide addresses where each defendant may be served. If the correct information is not provided, it could delay or prevent service of the complaint on the defendant. Make sure that the defendants listed below are the same as those listed in the caption. Attach additional pages if needed.

| Defendant 1: | | | | |
|--------------|---|-----------|----------|--|
| | First Name | Last Name | | |
| | Current Job Title (or other identifying information) | | | |
| | Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served) | | | |
| | County, City | State | Zip Code | |
| Defendant 2: | | | | |
| | First Name | Last Name | | |
| | Current Job Title (or other identifying information) | | | |
| | Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served) | | | |
| | County, City | State | Zip Code | |
| Defendant 3: | | | | |
| | First Name | Last Name | | |
| | Current Job Title (or other identifying information) | | | |
| | Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served) | | | |
| | County, City | State | Zip Code | |

| Defendant 4: | | | | |
|-------------------|---|--|----------|--|
| | First Name | Last Name | | |
| | Current Job Title (or other identifying information) | | | |
| | Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served) | | | |
| | County, City | State | Zip Code | |
| III. STATEMI | ENT OF CLAIM | | | |
| Place(s) of occur | rrence: | | | |
| | | | | |
| Date(s) of occur | rence: | | | |
| FACTS: | | | | |
| | nat each defendant per | ort your case. Describe what h sonally did or failed to do that | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| INJURIES: |
|---|
| If you were injured as a result of these actions, describe your injuries and what medical treatment, if any, you required and received. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| IV. RELIEF |
| State briefly what money damages or other relief you want the court to order. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. PLAINTIFF'S CERTIFICATION AND WARNINGS

By signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that: (1) the complaint is not being presented for an improper purpose (such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation); (2) the claims are supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument to change existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

I agree to notify the Clerk's Office in writing of any changes to my mailing address. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Each Plaintiff must sign and date the complaint. Attach additional pages if necessary. If seeking to proceed without prepayment of fees, each plaintiff must also submit an IFP application.

| Dated | | Plainti | ff's Signature |
|--------------------------|--|----------------|---|
| First Name | Middle Initial | Last Na | ame |
| Street Address | | | |
| County, City | | State | Zip Code |
| Telephone Number | | Email <i>i</i> | Address (if available) |
| I have read the Pro Se (| Nonprisoner) Conse | nt to Receiv | e Documents Electronically: |
| □ Yes □ No | | | |
| | receive documents e not consent, please o | | submit the completed form with your the form. |